

## Cardinal Invariants for Commutative Group Algebras

PETER DANCHEV

ABSTRACT. A new kind of major structural invariants for commutative group algebras and pairs of commutative group algebras are here obtained. The present statements are a sequel to our recent results published in *Ricerche Math.* (Napoli, 2001 and 2003) plus *Rend. Circolo Mat. Palermo* (2002).

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a natural supplement to previous results in this aspect due to the author [3-9]. It is to be understood throughout that all groups considered in the current work are Abelian. Following the notions from [12], if among the pure subgroups of a group  $G$  which contain  $A$  there exists a minimal one, we say that  $A$  is contained in, or is imbedded in, a minimal pure subgroup of  $G$ . We emphasize that the subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  is said to be purifiable if, among the pure subgroups of  $G$  containing  $A$ , there is a minimal one. Such a minimal pure subgroup of  $G$  is called a pure hull of  $A$  in  $G$ . The terminology, notations and other material on Abelian groups not expressly introduced here follow the usage of [10] and [7]. For  $F$  an arbitrary field of char  $F = p$ ,  $FG$  will denote the group algebra of  $G$  over  $F$ . For an arbitrary subgroup  $A$  in  $G$ ,  $(FG, FA)$  designates a pair of  $F$ -group algebras. Recall that  $V(RG)$  is the normalized group of units with  $p$ -component  $S(RG)$ , and  $I(RG; A)$  denotes the relative augmentation ideal of  $RG$  with respect to  $A$ , whenever  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity. For the basic background on group rings see [15] and [16].

In the theory of commutative group algebras a central problem is that of deducing information about  $G$  from the  $F$ -group algebra  $FG$  as well as about the group pair  $(G, A)$  from the  $F$ -pair  $(FG, FA)$ . The principal known results in this direction may be found in [16], [2], [15], [3-9]. Moreover, of some importance are also the following other invariants of  $G$  and  $(G, A)$ , which are in the focus of our interest, namely:

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 20C07; Secondary: 16S34.

*Key words and phrases.* Group algebras, purifiable subgroups, pure hulls, defects, covering dimensions, complementary dimensions, Hill's numbers.

- (a) For every ordinal  $\alpha$ , the  $\alpha$ -th defect of  $A$  in  $G$  is the vector space over the field  $F_p$  of  $p$ -elements (see [17])

$$D_\alpha(G, A) = (G/A)^{p^\alpha} [p] / (G^{p^\alpha} [p] A) / A.$$

These invariants play a key role in the intersection problem, and shed an information on the purity and isotopy as well.

- (b) Let  $A$  be purifiable in  $G$ . For every integer  $n \geq 0$ , we define the dimension of  $(P/A)^{p^n} [p]$  for the pure hull  $P$  of  $A$  in  $G$  as a vector  $F_p$ -space by (cf. [17])

$$\text{Cov}_n(G, A) = \dim_{F_p} (P/A)^{p^n} [p].$$

This cardinal number is called the  $n$ -th covering dimension of  $A$  in  $G$ . It is a relative invariant of  $A$  in  $G$ . We also set  $\text{Def}(A) = \dim_{F_p} (N/A) [p]$ , where  $N$  is a neat hull of  $A$  in  $G$  and  $A$  is neat in  $G$ . If  $N$  is pure in  $G$ , then  $N$  is vertical in  $G \Rightarrow \text{Def}(A) = \text{Cov}_0(G, A)$ .

- (c) Let  $A$  be purifiable in  $G$ . For each natural  $n \geq 0$ , we put the dimension of  $G^{p^n} [p] / P^{p^n} [p]$  by (see [17])

$$\text{Com}_n(G, A) = \dim_{F_p} (G^{p^n} [p] / P^{p^n} [p]).$$

The last cardinal number is called the  $n$ -th complementary dimension of  $A$  in  $G$ . It is a relative invariant of  $A$  in  $G$ . Besides, since  $P$  is pure in  $G$ , we trivially detect that  $G^{p^n} [p] / P^{p^n} [p] \cong (G/P)^{p^n} [p]$ , and therefore

$$\text{Com}_n(G, A) = \dim_{F_p} (G/P)^{p^n} [p].$$

- (d) P. Hill in [11] has introduced the following cardinal functions (called Hill numbers or Hill invariants): For  $\mu$  a limit ordinal not cofinal with  $\omega = \omega_0$ , set

$$E_\mu = \bigcap_{\substack{\lambda < \mu, \\ \lambda + \sigma = \mu}} (G^{p^\lambda} A / A)^{p^\sigma} / (G^{p^\mu} A / A).$$

Then

$$H_\mu(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \dim(G^{p^\alpha} [p] / G^{p^{\alpha+1}} [p]), & \text{if } \mu = 0 \text{ and } \alpha < \infty \\ \dim(E_\mu^{p^\alpha} [p] / E_\mu^{p^{\alpha+1}} [p]), & \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha < \infty \\ \dim E_\mu^{p^\alpha} [p], & \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha = \infty. \end{cases}$$

- (e) We select the relative  $p$ -Warfield invariants of  $A$  in  $G$  with respect to the ordinal  $\alpha$  as follows

$$W_{\alpha, p}(G, A) = \dim_{F_p} (G^{p^\alpha} / ((G^{p^{\alpha+1}} A) \cap G^{p^\alpha} (G^{p^\alpha})_t)).$$

This construction strengthens the classical long-known definition of the ordinary Warfield  $p$ -invariants.

We continue with the statement of the major assertions.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we are in position to formulate and prove the attainments on functional invariants for abelian group algebras, motivated this article. Some of them were previously announced in [9]. And so, we start with

**Theorem 1** (Invariants). *The following claims are valid:*

- (\*) *For any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $W_{\alpha,p}(G, A)$  is an isomorphic cardinal invariant of  $(FG, FA)$ .*
- (\*\*) *For each ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $D_\alpha(G, A)$  and  $H_\mu(\alpha)$  are structural cardinal invariants for  $(FG, FA)$ .*
- (\*\*\*) *For every purifiable subgroup  $A$  of  $p$ -primary  $G$ ,  $\text{Cov}_n(G, A)$  and  $\text{Com}_n(G, A)$  are functional cardinal invariants of  $(FG, FA)$ .*

Begin further with a statement consequence.

**Proposition 1** (Properties). *Suppose  $(FG, FA) \cong (FH, FB)$  as pair of  $F$ -algebras. Then the following hold:*

- ( $^\circ$ ) *If  $A$  is pure (isotype) in  $G_p$ , then  $B$  is pure (isotype) in  $H_p$ .*
- ( $^{\circ\circ}$ ) *If  $A$  is purifiable in  $G_p$ , then  $B$  is purifiable in  $H_p$ .*
- ( $^{\circ\circ\circ}$ ) *If  $A$  is an intersection of pure (isotype) subgroups in  $G_p$ , then  $B$  is an intersection of pure (isotype) subgroups in  $H_p$ .*

We can now attack their proofs, which are demonstrated in the next paragraph.

*Proofs of Preliminary and Central Affirmations.* First and foremost we list (cf. [3, 4, 6]) a lemma needed for our presentation, namely:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $T \leq A \leq G$  and  $M \leq G$ . Then*

$$I(FG; AM) = I(FG; A) + I(FG; M).$$

*Besides for  $1 \in P \leq R$ , the following intersection ratio holds true*

$$I(FA; T) \cap PM = I(P(A \cap M); T \cap M).$$

Now, we are ready to begin with the proofs. In fact, we proceed  
 PROOF of: (\*)

Since

$$(G^{p^\alpha})_t = (G_t)^{p^\alpha} = (G_p)^{p^\alpha} \left( \prod_{q \neq p} G_q \right),$$

we observe that

$$[(G^{p^{\alpha+1}} A) \cap G^{p^\alpha}](G^{p^\alpha})_t = [(G^{p^{\alpha+1}} A) \cap G^{p^\alpha}](G^{p^\alpha})_p.$$

Henceforth, we apply the methods from [3, 4, 6] together with the Lemma to conclude that the fundamental ideals  $I(FG; G_p^{p^\alpha})$  along with

$$I(FG; G^{p^{\alpha+1}} A) = I(FG; G^{p^{\alpha+1}}) + I(FG; A) \quad \text{and} \quad I(FG; (G^{p^{\alpha+1}} A) \cap G^{p^\alpha})$$

may be recovered by  $(FG, FA)$ . As a finish, exploiting a result due to Karpilovsky [15], we have that the explored relative  $p$ -invariants of Warfield can be recaptured from the  $F$ -pair  $(FG, FA)$ , as wanted.

PROOF of: (\*\*)

The fact that  $D_\alpha(G, A)$  is an invariant of  $(FG, FA)$  follows thus. As we have seen in [3, 4],  $I(FG; G^{p^\alpha}[p])$  can be retrieved from  $FG$ . On the other hand

$$I(FG; G^{p^\alpha}[p]A) = I(FG; G^{p^\alpha}[p]) + I(FG; A) = I(FG; G^{p^\alpha}[p]) + FG \cdot I(FA; A)$$

may be obtained from  $(FG, FA)$  using the Lemma. Furthermore by [15]

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{F_p}(G/A)^{p^\alpha}[p]/(G^{p^\alpha}[p]A)/A &= \dim_F(I(F(G/A); (G/A)^{p^\alpha}[p])/ \\ &/ (I(F(G/A); G/A) \cdot I(F(G/A); (G/A)^{p^\alpha}[p]) + I(F(G/A); G^{p^\alpha}[p]A/A))). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F(G/A) \cong FG/I(FG; A) = FG/FG \cdot I(FA; A)$  may be gotten by  $(FG, FA)$  and moreover

$$I(FG; G^{p^\alpha}[p]A)/I(FG; A) \cong I(F(G/A); G^{p^\alpha}[p]A/A)$$

can be determined also from this pair, the result holds directly by virtue of [3, 4] or [15].

Now, we shall apply the same procedure to get that  $H_\mu(\alpha)$  are invariants for the pair  $(FG, FA)$ . For this purpose it is enough to establish only that  $I(F(G/A/G^{p^\mu}A/A); E_\mu^{p^\tau}[p])$  is an invariant of  $(FG, FA)$ , i.e in other words it is sufficient to verify via [3,4] and [15] that  $I(FE_\mu; E_\mu)$  can be recovered from  $(FG, FA)$ . Indeed, we consider the  $F$ -algebra  $FE_\mu$ . Evidently

$$FE_\mu = \bigcap_{\substack{\lambda < \mu \\ \lambda + \sigma = \mu}} F[(G^{p^\lambda}A/A)^{p^\sigma}/(G^{p^\mu}A/A)].$$

After this, we shall check that  $F[(G^{p^\lambda}A/A)^{p^\sigma}/(G^{p^\mu}A/A)]$  may be determined by  $(FG, FA)$ . Indeed, this follows from noticing that the factor-algebra is isomorphic to

$$F[(G^{p^\lambda}A/A)^{p^\sigma}]/I(F(G^{p^\lambda}A/A)^{p^\sigma}; (G^{p^\mu}A/A)).$$

But,

$$F(G^{p^\lambda}A/A)^{p^\sigma} = [F(G^{p^\lambda}A/A)]^{p^\sigma},$$

and

$$F(G^{p^\lambda}A/A) \cong F(G^{p^\lambda}A)/I(F(G^{p^\lambda}A); A),$$

where

$$F(G^{p^\lambda}A) = FG^{p^\lambda} \cdot FA = (FG)^{p^\lambda} \cdot FA$$

and

$$I(F(G^{p^\lambda}A); A) = F(G^{p^\lambda}A) \cdot I(FA; A).$$

On the other hand

$$F(G^{p^\mu}A/A) \cong F(G^{p^\mu}A)/I(F(G^{p^\mu}A); A),$$

where as above

$$F(G^{p^\mu} A) = FG^{p^\mu} \cdot FA \quad \text{and} \quad I(F(G^{p^\mu} A); A) = FG^{p^\mu} \cdot I(FA; A).$$

So, our claim is substantiated.

PROOF of: (\*\*\*)

Since  $FG = FH$ ,  $FA = FB$  and  $FP = FM$  for some pure hulls  $P$  of  $A$  in  $G$  and  $M$  of  $B$  in  $H$  respectively (see the constructions below), we detect that the algebras  $F(P/A)$  and  $F(G/P)$  can be extracted from  $(FG, FA)$  and  $(FH, FB)$ .

The theorem is proved in general after all.  $\square$

We now concentrate on the verification of the corollary.

( $^\circ$ ) Since  $A$  is isotype in  $G$ , we deduce  $V(FB) = V(FA)$  is  $p$ -isotype in  $V(FG) = V(FH)$ . Thereby,  $B$  as  $p$ -isotype in  $V(FB)$  must be  $p$ -isotype in  $V(FH)$  whence it is isotype in  $H_p$ .

We give an independent approach to confirm once again ( $^\circ$ ). Exploiting [17] and [18],  $A$  is balanced (nice and isotype) in  $G_p$  if and only if  $D_\alpha(G, A) = 0$  for each ordinal  $\alpha$ . But, as we have argued in the Theorem,  $D_\alpha(G, A)$  can be gotten from  $(FG, FA)$ . Besides,  $A$  is pure in  $G_p$  if and only if  $D_n(G, A) = 0$  for all naturals  $n$ .

( $^{\circ\circ}$ ) Assume  $A \subseteq P$  where  $P$  is a minimal pure subgroup of  $G_p$ , i.e.  $P$  is a pure hull of  $A$  in  $G_p$ ; in other words there is no proper subgroup of  $P$  that is pure in  $G_p$ . After this, we may presume that  $F$  is perfect. By hypothesis,  $FG = FH$  and  $FA = FB$  for some subgroup  $B \leq H_p$ . Given  $B \subseteq M \subseteq H_p$  so that  $M$  is pure in  $H_p$ . We search such a minimal group  $M$  with this property. Since  $A \subseteq S(FA) = S(FB) \subseteq S(FM)$  and since  $S(FM)$  is pure in  $S(FH) = S(FG)$ , it follows at once that  $P \subseteq S(FM)$ . Henceforth, we choose  $M \leq H_p$  on which  $FM = FP$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} M \cap H_p^{p^n} &\subseteq S(FM) \cap S^{p^n}(FH) = S(FP) \cap S^{p^n}(FG) = \\ &= S(FP) \cap S(FG^{p^n}) = S(F(P \cap G^{p^n})) = \\ &= S(FP^{p^n}) = S^{p^n}(FP) = S^{p^n}(FM), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$M \cap H_p^{p^n} \subseteq S^{p^n}(FM) \cap M = S(FM^{p^n}) \cap M = M^{p^n},$$

for each natural number  $n$ , that is  $M$  is pure in  $H_p$ . Next, if there is  $N \subset M$  such that  $N$  is pure in  $H_p$ , we select  $T \leq G_p$  with  $FN = FT$ . As above, we may infer that  $T$  is pure in  $G_p$ . Moreover,  $T \subseteq FN \subset FM = FP$  whence  $T \subset P$ , because if  $T = P$  we have that  $FM = FN$  jointly with  $N \subset M$  force  $M = N$ , which is the desired contradiction. Thereby,  $M$  is a minimal pure subgroup of  $H_p$  containing  $B$ . So,  $M$  is a pure hull of  $B$  in  $H_p$  and consequently  $B$  is purifiable in  $H_p$ , as expected.

( $^{\circ\circ\circ}$ ) Utilizing [17], for each ordinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $(G^{p^\alpha}[p]A)/A = 1$  yields  $(G/A)^{p^\alpha}[p] = 1$ . But, owing to our method described above, the two

factor-groups may be retrieved from the couple  $(FG, FA)$ . So, again invoking to [17], the proof of this point is fulfilled.

The proof of the corollary is completed.  $\square$

**Claim 1.** *Assume  $P \leq G_p$ . Then  $P$  is minimal pure in  $G_p \Leftrightarrow P$  is minimal pure in  $S(FG)$ .*

*Proof.* If there exists a pure subgroup  $K$  of  $S(FG)$  so that  $K \subset P$ , we obtain that  $K$  must be pure in  $G_p$  which contradicts the minimality of  $P$  in  $G_p$ .

Conversely, if  $L$  is a pure subgroup of  $G_p$  and is contained in  $P$ , the purity of  $G_p$  in  $S(FG)$  and its transitivity imply that  $L$  is pure in  $S(FG)$ . But this fails owing to the minimality of  $P$  in  $S(FG)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Assume  $A \leq G_p$ . Then  $A$  is purifiable in  $G_p \Leftrightarrow A$  is purifiable in  $S(FG)$ .*

We end the investigation with

**Problems.** *What are the divisible hull and the pure hull for the group  $S(FG)$ ?*

In [4] we have asked whether or not  $FG$  determines  $G/B_u$ , where  $B_u$  is an upper basic subgroup of  $G$ . We now precise this as turn our attention to the question for the existence of invariance of  $I(FG; B_u)$  from  $FG$ . In that aspect, does it follow that  $FG = FH$  implies

$$F(G/H^{(G_p)}) \cong F(H/H^{(H_p)}) \quad \text{and} \quad I(FG; H^{(G_p)}) = I(FH; H^{(H_p)})$$

whenever  $H^{(G_p)}$  and  $H^{(H_p)}$  are  $G_p$ -high and  $H_p$ -high subgroups of  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. For the convenience of the reader, we emphasize that a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  is  $G_p$ -high if it is maximal with respect to  $\cap G_p = 1$ , that is  $K[p] = 1$  and  $K$  is pure in  $G$  (see, for instance, [13] or [14]). Thus if  $FG \cong FH$  and  $G$  being  $p$ -splitting ( $G_p$  is a direct factor of  $G$ ) yield that  $H$  is  $p$ -splitting, then  $FG \cong FH$  assures  $FG_p \cong FH_p$ .

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of nonnegative integers, and let  $B = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \langle b_i \rangle$  be the direct sum of cyclic groups with order  $(b_i) = p^{i+1}$ . Denote by  $B^-$  the torsion-completion of  $B$ . If  $G$  is a pure subgroup of  $B^-$ , let

$$I(G) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i^{\text{th}} \text{Ulm invariant of } G \text{ is nonzero}\}.$$

Beaumont and Pierce introduced a further invariant for  $G$ , archived in [1] (see [19] too), namely

$$U(G) = \{I(A) \mid A \text{ is a pure torsion-complete subgroup of } G\}.$$

Clearly,  $U(G)$  is a (boolean) ideal in  $P(\mathbb{N})$ , the power set of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

A problem of central interest is whether  $U(G)$  is isomorphically retrieved from the  $F$ -algebra  $FG$ .

However, these are a problem of some other study.

**Acknowledgement.** The author's thanks are due to the referee for the valuable comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.A. Beaumont and R. S. Pierce, *Some invariants of  $p$ -groups*, Michigan Math. J., **11** (1964), 137–149.
- [2] D. Beers, F. Richman and E. Walker, *Group algebras of abelian groups*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **69** (1983), 41–50.
- [3] P. Danchev, *Numerical invariants for commutative group algebras*, Ricerche di Mat., **(2)50** (2001), 323–336.
- [4] P. Danchev, *Invariants for group algebras of abelian groups*, Rend. Circolo Mat. Palermo, **(3)51** (2002), 391–402.
- [5] P. Danchev, *A new simple proof of the W. May's claim:  $FG$  determines  $G/G_0$* , Rivista Mat. Univ. Parma, **1** (2002), 69–71.
- [6] P. Danchev, *Numerical invariants for modular group algebras of abelian groups*, Ricerche di Mat., **(2)52** (2003), 197–202.
- [7] P. Danchev, *Isomorphism of commutative group algebras over all fields*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste, **35** (2003), 1–18.
- [8] P. Danchev, *Honest subgroups in commutative group rings*, Rivista Mat. Univ. Parma, **3** (2004), 19–23.
- [9] P. Danchev, *Numerical invariants for group algebras of abelian groups*, Compt. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci., **(11)57** (2004), 5–6.
- [10] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, I and II, Mir, Moscow, 1974 and 1977 (In Russian).
- [11] P. Hill, *On the structure of abelian  $p$ -groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **288** (1985), 505–525.
- [12] P. Hill and C. Megibben, *Minimal pure subgroups in primary groups*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **92** (1964), 251–257.
- [13] J. Irwin, *High subgroups of abelian torsion groups*, Pac. J. of Math., **11** (1961), 1375–1384.
- [14] J. Irwin and E. Walker, *On  $N$ -high subgroups of abelian groups*, Pac. J. of Math., **11** (1961), 1363–1374.
- [15] G. Karpilovsky, *Unit Groups of Group Rings*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [16] W. May, *Commutative group algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **(1)136** (1969), 139–149.
- [17] T. Okuyama, *On purifiable subgroups and the intersection problem*, Pac. J. of Math., **(2)157** (1993), 311–324.
- [18] T. Okuyama, *On purifiable subgroups in arbitrary abelian groups*, Comm. in Algebra, **(1)28** (2000), 121–139.
- [19] J. Werth Jr., *Maximal pure subgroups of torsion complete abelian  $p$ -groups*, Pac. J. of Math., **(1)50** (1974), 307–316.

13, GENERAL KUTUZOV STREET  
BLOCK 7, FLOOR 2, APARTMENT 4  
4003 PLOVDIV  
BULGARIA  
*E-mail address:* pvdanchev@yahoo.com